

# Successful Community-Engaged Research: Humanizing Institutional Processes for Offering Proper Partner Compensation

Presented in partnership with the Community Engagement Alliance Consultative Resource (CEACR)

June 24, 2022

#### **CCPH Presenters:**

Paige Castro-Reyes CCPH Deputy Director

**Emily Finley, MPH**CCPH Program Coordinator

### **Objectives:**

- Review common post-award compensation processes for community partners
- Understand the barriers and facilitators to equitable community partner compensation processes
- Explore models of community partner payment processes towards equity

# **About CEACR**

CEAL's new consultative service, the Community Engagement Alliance: Consultative Resource (CEACR), is designed to help bring best practices in community engagement to CEAL sites, community-based organizations, and other NIH-funded teams. CEACR provides customized expertise to help ensure inclusive participation in research. Visit the <u>website</u> to request a consultation or join an expert panel.





## Why is this topic important?

The COVID-19 pandemic response has created new funding opportunities for community-engaged research and community-academic partnerships. When contracting with major institutions, community-based organizations often experience complex administrative processes, delays in funding, and fiscal barriers.

This webinar provides models and recommendations for new approaches to partner compensation rooted in **transparency**, **reciprocity**, **power-sharing**, and **trustworthiness**.

#### **Key Takeaways**

#### **Equitable partner compensation requires:**

- Shared decision-making
- Early and timely communication among all partners
- Shared understanding of each partners' fiscal capacity and processes
- Continuous engagement throughout pre- and post-award periods

# **Models for Fiscal Equity**

#### **Community Partner Liaison**

**How it works:** a non-profit subcontractor serves as a fiscal liaison to funnel payments from the institution to community-based partners **Benefits:** flexible, timely payments with low administrative burden on community partners **Limitations:** requires time and resources upfront to build the fiscal liaison into the grant

#### **Cost Reimbursable**

**How it works**: Community partner submits invoices to institution for reimbursement of funds spent

**Benefits:** Lower financial risk for the institution

**Limitations:** Community partner must use their own funds upfront; lengthy timeline to reimbursement

#### **Cash Advance**

**How it works**: Community partners receive funding upfront for grant activities **Benefits:** Greater flexibility and financial security for community partners **Limitations**: Funds must be verified after money is spent

To learn more about models and approaches to fiscal equity, including immediate and long-term action steps, view the full webinar here.